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Summary: Uterine cervical adenosquamous carcinoma in situ was originally defined as having either a uniform population of cells with features intermediate in appearance between glandular and squamous cells, or a mixture of distinct glandular and squamous components within a single lesion. The former type would likely be reclassified today as stratified mucin-producing intraepithelial lesion, while the latter type is vanishingly rare. Here, we report a novel case of bona fide adenosquamous carcinoma in situ, which exhibits 2 morphologically and immunophenotypically distinct components: (1) an inner glandular component composed of a single layer of p40-negative, ciliated, mucin-producing dysplastic columnar cells and (2) an outer p40-positive, stratified dysplastic squamous component otherwise identical to cervical intraepithelial neoplasia-3. Both components show block-positive staining for p16 and are positive for high-risk human papillomavirus RNA by in situ hybridization. Our finding expands the histological spectrum of human papillomavirus–associated preinvasive cervical lesions while also providing further evidence that human papillomavirus–driven processes can exhibit ciliated morphology. Key Words: Ciliated—HPV—Adenosquamous—Cervix—Carcinoma—In situ.

The squamocolumnar junction of the uterine cervix is well known for being uniquely susceptible to human papillomavirus (HPV) infection (1) and gives rise to HPV-associated preinvasive lesions with a range of phenotypes, including those with glandular differentiation, squamous differentiation, and indeterminate differentiation (2). Adenosquamous carcinoma in situ is a rare preinvasive lesion classically defined as having either a uniform population of cells with features intermediate in appearance between glandular and squamous cells, or a mixture of distinct glandular and squamous components (3). Today, the former type would be undoubtedly reclassified as “stratified mucin-producing intraepithelial lesion” (SMILE) (2). True examples of the latter type of adenosquamous carcinoma in situ are likely extremely rare. Here we report a bona fide example of adenosquamous carcinoma in situ of the latter type with some unusual features, including conspicuous cilia and goblet cell-like mucin in the glandular component. This unusual adenosquamous carcinoma in situ was accompanied by both conventional adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) as well as conventional high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion/cervical intraepithelial neoplasia-3 (HSIL/CIN-3). Diagnostic implications as well as the similarity of this variant in situ carcinoma to the recently described invasive ciliated HPV-related carcinoma of the head and neck (4,5) are discussed.

CASE REPORT

The patient, a 29-yr-old previously healthy woman, had a Pap test showing HSIL. A subsequent cervical
biopsy confirmed the presence of HSIL/CIN-3. However, an excisional procedure was not performed at that time as the patient was lost to follow-up for 14 mo, after which time a repeat Pap test showed persistent HSIL. Thirty-four months after her initial diagnosis of HSIL, the patient underwent a loop electrocautery excision procedure and endocervical curettage.

Sections from the loop electrocautery excision procedure specimen revealed several foci of adenosquamous carcinoma in situ, which involved both the overlying surface epithelium as well as the underlying endocervical glands (Figs. 1A, B). The adenosquamous carcinoma in situ was consistently composed of 2 very orderly components: (1) an inner glandular component composed of a single layer of atypical columnar cells with an extensively ciliated surface and scattered goblet cell-like mucin-filled vacuoles and (2) an immediately subjacent outer dysplastic stratified squamous component without any mucin vacuoles (Figs. 1C, D). The degree of cytologic atypia in the inner glandular component was moderate at best, with slightly enlarged, rounded nuclei with occasional nucleoli and smooth chromatin. While occasional luminally located mitotic figures and focal apoptotic bodies were seen, they were not as conspicuous those of conventional AIS. The nuclei were notably larger than those of the benign adjacent endocervical glandular cells; some of these adjacent benign endocervical glandular cells also showed focal cilia, implying the presence of focal tubal metaplasia (Figs. 1D–F). The cytologic features of the subjacent, outer squamous component were identical to those of conventional CIN-3 (Figs. 1C–F). Where the adenosquamous carcinoma in situ partially involved the endocervical glands, its dual differentiation became most evident, as both the glandular and squamous components “traveled together” right up until the point where the lesional epithelium met the uninvolved glandular epithelium (Figs. 1E, F).

By immunohistochemistry, the inner glandular component was completely negative for p40, while the subjacent outer squamous component was diffusely and strongly positive for p40 (Figs. 2E, F). Both components showed an unequivocal block-positive staining pattern for p16 (Figs. 2C, D). RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) testing for high-risk HPV subtypes was positive in both the glandular and squamous components (Fig. 2B).

Minor components of conventional AIS and HSIL were present in the loop electrocautery excision procedure specimen. HSIL extended to the endocervical and deep margins, but the margins were negative for adenosquamous carcinoma in situ and conventional AIS. No dysplasia was seen in endocervical curettage. A cold knife conization procedure was scheduled but was delayed due to the COVID 19 pandemic.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sectioned at 5 μm increments was stained with hematoxylin and eosin and immunohistochemical stains. Immunohistochemistry was performed on a Benchmark Ultra autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems Inc., Tucson, AZ), using commercially available antibodies for p16 (E6H4, predilute, Ventana, AZ) and p40 (BC28(m), predilute, BioCare Medical, CA). Unstained slides (formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded, 5 μm sections) were sent to Mayo Clinic Laboratories for high risk HPV E6/E7 RNA ISH testing, performed according to previously published guidelines (6).

**DISCUSSION**

On the basis of classic descriptions of adenosquamous carcinoma in situ (3), it is likely that the vast majority of these lesions would be reclassified today as SMILE (2). However, SMILE is debatably not at all an adenosquamous carcinoma in situ in the purest sense, as it shows very limited expression of squamous markers such as p40 and p63 (typically restricted to the basal aspect) and is also negative for markers of AIS, such as IMP3 (7). Here, we report a bona fide, biphasic example of adenosquamous carcinoma in situ with distinct squamous and glandular elements, with an unusual ciliated and goblet cell morphology in the glandular component. The presence of cilia raises the obvious objection that this appearance is simply due to endocervical glandular involvement by CIN-3, with tuboendometrioid metaplasia of the overlying glandular epithelium. However, several lines of evidence militate against this alternative hypothesis. First, the glandular cells in this lesion are both atypical (albeit only moderately so) and mitotically active. Second, the glandular component is diffusely and strongly positive for p16 with a block-positive pattern; a valid objection would be that tuboendometrioid metaplasia may also show considerable staining for p16 (8,9), though we would argue that the level of staining seen in the current lesion exceeds even that expected for tuboendometrioid metaplasia (8,9). Third, the presence of intestinal-type goblet cells in...
FIG. 1. (A and B) Low magnification views of ciliated mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ [A: hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), 20×; B: H&E, 200×]. (C) Ciliated mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ, characterized by 2 components: (1) an inner single layer of ciliated, mucin-producing dysplastic glandular cells and (2) a subjacent stratified dysplastic squamous component (H&E, 600×). (D) Histologic features of mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ (right) and focal ciliated epithelium in the adjacent normal endocervical glands (left) (H&E, 400×). (E and F) Ciliated mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ is seen partially involving endocervical glands (both images: H&E, 400×).
FIG. 2. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin–stained section of ciliated mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ for reference (200×). (B) High-risk human papillomavirus RNA in situ hybridization analysis shows positive punctate cytoplasmic and nuclear signals in both components of the ciliated mucin-producing adenosquamous carcinoma in situ (400×). (C and D) An immunohistochemical stain for p16 shows block-positive immunoreactivity in both the ciliated, mucin-producing glandular component and the subjacent squamous component (C: 200×; D: 600×). (E and F) An immunohistochemical stain for p40 is negative in the ciliated, mucin-producing glandular component, but is strongly and diffusely positive in the subjacent squamous component (E: 200×; F: 600×).
HPV-associated neoplasia is well established (10) and typically not seen in benign endocervical glands. We attempted to perform additional immunohistochemistry for estrogen and progesterone receptors since they are typically positive in normal endocervical glands and tuboendometrioid metaplasia, and negative in HPV-associated glandular neoplasia. Unfortunately, the lesion was no longer present on subsequent sections. Perhaps the most compelling argument in this case is the transcriptional activity of high-risk HPV identified in the glandular component by ISH testing (Fig. 2B).

While the ISH results in this case establish the dysplastic nature of both components of this lesion, they do not entirely rule out the possibility that the appearance could be the result of HSIL undermining pre-existing ciliated, mucin-producing AIS. While we cannot entirely exclude this possibility, a number of findings in this case militate against this hypothesis. First of all, while separate small foci of AIS were present in this case without an associated dysplastic squamous component, these were exclusively of the conventional, usual type and lacked cilia orgoblet cells. By contrast, the ciliated, mucin-producing glandular component of this biphasic lesion was never seen by itself, unaccompanied by the dysplastic squamous component; rather, the 2 components were inextricably paired together. Nowhere was this more dramatically apparent than when the lesion partially involved endocervical glands (Figs. 1E, F). In these foci, the transition between benign endocervical glandular epithelium to dysplastic epithelium was marked by the immediate acquisition of a biphasic appearance, even when the involvement was extremely focal (in particular, Fig. 1F).

In an attempt to identify additional cases with this morphology, 2 of the authors (J.X. and P.W.) screened slides from an additional 121 archived cases of cervical excisional specimens with a diagnosis of HSIL or AIS diagnosed at our institution between 2012 and 2017. However, we could not identify a second case with this variant morphology.

Compared with previously published reports, this lesion does show considerable similarity to “endocervical adenocarcinoma in situ of tubal type” described by Schlesinger and Silverberg (11). However, in their description and the photographs shown in their publication, an orderly, biphasic glandular and squamous arrangement was not evident. Furthermore, abundant mucin vacuoles (seen in the inner glandular component of our case) were not a feature described by these authors. In their discussion, however, Schlesinger and Silverberg (11) postulated that differentiation along endometrial lines could explain the cilia seen in their cases. Indeed, since endometrioid tumors and even non-neoplastic endometrial glands can show both ciliated and mucinous metaplasia (12), it is possible that the glandular component of this adenosquamous carcinoma in situ is simply showing divergent endometrial-type differentiation.

The greatest similarity to this case can actually be seen best in the head and neck literature. The shared morphology between our HPV-related ciliated adenosquamous carcinoma in situ, the invasive HPV-related ciliated carcinoma described by Bishop and Westra (4) and the ciliated adenosquamous carcinoma described by Radkay-Gonzalez et al. (5) is hard to overlook. As in our case, these lesions show a biphasic, malignant HPV-driven adenosquamous proliferation with an outer squamous component and an inner ciliated, glandular component.

FIG. 3. (A and B) A case of ciliated HPV-associated carcinoma of the head and neck, for comparison. Histologically, ciliated HPV-associated carcinoma of the head and neck also shows a biphasic appearance, with a focally ciliated inner glandular component and a subjacent stratified squamous component (A; hematoxylin and eosin, 400×), both of which show block-positive immunoreactivity for p16 (B; 400×). Images courtesy of Dr Bin Xu.
(Figs. 3A, B). Of course, an obvious caveat is that our patient’s lesion is an in situ carcinoma and the lesions described by these authors are invasive carcinomas.

Radkay-Gonzalez et al. (5) point out the similarity of their adenosquamous carcinomas to mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the salivary gland type. This point is relevant to gynecologic pathologists, since mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the cervix has also been described (2). However, all of the lesions in the series from Radkay-Gonzalez and colleagues were negative for MAML2 gene rearrangements, the defining molecular genetic marker for mucoepidermoid carcinomas. Furthermore, as in our case, the lesions in both head and neck series were diffusely positive for p16 by immunohistochemistry and showed positive signals in both the squamous and glandular components by high-risk HPV ISH (4,5), confirming their HPV association, while simultaneously militating against a fusion-driven salivary gland type tumor.

The shared common ground in our case, the cervical lesions described by Schlesinger and Silverberg (11) and the invasive head and neck carcinomas described by Bishop and Westra (4) and Radkay-Gonzalez et al. (5) is the presence of cilia in HPV-associated neoplasia. This feature underscores the morphologic plasticity of HPV-associated neoplasia at various anatomic sites, which includes carcinomas resembling adenoid cystic carcinoma or other salivary gland neoplasms (13), adenoid basal carcinomas (2), adenosquamous carcinomas (5,14), glasy cell carcinomas (2), both in situ and invasive stratified mucin-producing carcinomas (7) intestinal-type mucinous adenocarcinoma (10), lymphoepithelioma-like carcinomas (2,14), and high-grade neuroendocrine carcinomas (2,15). It is likely that the presence of cilia in HPV-associated carcinomas simply represents another manifestation of this divergent differentiation.

Importantly, the presence of cilia in the head and neck and in the gynecologic tract has traditionally been ascribed to benign processes (4,5,11). While the presence of cilia in glandular lesions in the head and neck has long been associated with branchial cleft cysts (4,5) and, in the cervix, with benign tuboendometrioid metaplasia (11), HPV-associated malignant and premalignant lesions with glandular differentiation at both locations can indeed produce cilia, albeit rarely. It is important to recognize that one should not discount a lesion as benign solely based on the presence of cilia.

In summary, we report a rare, novel form of HPV-associated cervical adenosquamous carcinoma in situ with abundant cilia and goblet cells that joins the ranks of ciliated malignancies associated with HPV infection (4,5,11).
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